

ANNEX I

ELIGIBILITY CONDITIONS

| | | Responsible party |
|--|--|-------------------|
| CONDITIONS AUTOMATICALLY VERIFIED | | |
| 1 | Online application within the deadline set out in the call for proposals | e-MAC |
| 2 | Submission of the completed form | e-MAC |
| 3 | ERDF budget within the limits set out in the call for proposals | e-MAC |
| 4 | Overall project duration within the deadline set out in the call for proposals | e-MAC |
| 5 | Simplified cost methods set out in the programme are applied | e-MAC |
| 6 | EU horizontal principles are respected | e-MAC |
| 7 | The Lead beneficiary is an eligible entity (it is not a private company, nor a third country entity) | e-MAC |
| 8 | Beneficiaries are included in the categories covered by the programme | e-MAC |
| 9 | The partnership is transnational (at least one entity from the European territory of the programme and one entity from a third country are participating) | e-MAC |
| 10 | An annex is attached (it will be checked in the next phase that the content is the correct one: PowerPoint summary presentation of the project, up to 10 slides) | e-MAC |
| CONDITIONS VERIFIED BY DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE | | |
| 1 | The attached annex is the one required by the call for proposals (PowerPoint summary presentation of the project, up to 10 slides) | JS |

- e-MAC Computerised management system of the Interreg MAC programme
- JS: Joint Secretariat of the Interreg MAC programme.

In any case, the submission of the application via the e-MAC does not presuppose that the admissibility requirements have been fulfilled.

ANNEX II

GENERAL SELECTION CRITERIA

| GENERAL SELECTION CRITERIA (70% OF THE TOTAL) | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------|------------------|
| To what extent...? | | Responsible party | Weighting |
| STRATEGIC APPROACHES | | | 60% |
| Regarding the cooperation project context: relevance and cooperative nature | The project responds to clearly identified common challenges/needs | JS | 10% |
| | The project contributes to the achievement of the programme objectives and indicators | JS | 50% |
| | The project is innovative concerning the thematic area, the geographical area of application and/or the target group. | JS | 10% |
| | There is a clear cooperation added value for the partnership, project beneficiaries and project area | JS | 25% |
| | Contribution to the EU horizontal principles | JS | 5% |
| TOTAL | | | 20% |
| Regarding the intervention logic of the project: objectives, outcome and expected outputs | There is coherence between expected objectives, expected outcome and indicators of the project | JS | 30% |
| | Project outcome and outputs are clearly defined, meet identified needs and are realistic | JS | 30% |
| | The main project outcome can be capitalised on: assessment of the durability, transferability and ownership | JS | 40% |
| TOTAL | | | 60% |
| Regarding the partnership | The partnership is coherent and relevant to the project implementation | JS | 40% |
| | The role of each entity in the setting-up, management and implementation of the project is appropriate | JS | 30% |
| | Beneficiary entities have knowledge/capacity in the proposed thematic area | JS | 30% |
| TOTAL | | | 20% |



| OPERATIONAL CRITERIA | | | 40% |
|------------------------------|---|----|------------|
| Regarding the work plan | The project description is clear, coherent and detailed | JS | 30% |
| | Proposed activities are relevant and lead to the achievement of the chief expected outcome | JS | 30% |
| | The planned activity schedule is realistic and coherent | JS | 10% |
| | The communication and dissemination plan of the project is detailed, appropriate and effective in reaching target audiences and stakeholders. | JS | 20% |
| | Management procedures are clear, transparent, efficient and effective, and involve beneficiaries in the decision-making process | JS | 10% |
| TOTAL | | | 60% |
| Regarding the financial plan | The project budget is reasonable with respect to the main outputs and expected outcome | JS | 50% |
| | The financial plan is consistent with the work plan | JS | 30% |
| | The distribution of the budget by cost category is coherent | JS | 20% |
| TOTAL | | | 40% |

- JS: Joint Secretariat of the Interreg MAC programme.



ANNEX III

OTHER CRITERIA
(30% OF THE TOTAL)

| SPECIFIC SELECTION CRITERIA FOR EACH SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE (90% OF OTHER CRITERIA) | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|
| | Responsible party | Weighting |

| PRIORITY 1. SMART MAC - Improving the competitiveness of enterprises through innovative and smart economic transformation | | |
|--|----|-----|
| SO 1.1 Development and improvement of research and innovation capacities and deployment of advanced technologies | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project as agents of regional innovation systems in the cooperation area | RG | 30% |
| The project improves the scientific and technological knowledge transfer to the business sector | RG | 20% |
| The project is in line with the priorities defined in the regional smart specialisation strategies (RIS 3), especially key sectors such as tourism, green economy and blue economy | RG | 20% |
| SO 1.3 Strengthening sustainable growth and SME competitiveness and job creation, also through productive investments | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project as support agents for the productive fabric | RG | 30% |
| The project supports the business fabric in strategic sectors and activities (tourism, green and/or blue economy) or new market niches favouring the diversification of economic activity and job creation | RG | 40% |

| PRIORITY 2. GREEN MAC - Ecological transition, supporting the development of a green and blue economy, combating climate change, preventing and managing risks and disaster | | |
|--|----|-----|
| SO 2.1 Promoting energy efficiency and reducing greenhouse gas emissions | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project to implement energy efficiency and greenhouse gas emission reduction measures. | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of energy efficiency products, processes and/or new technologies leading to more efficient energy consumption (especially in the sectors related to tourism, business or public infrastructures) | RG | 40% |



| | | |
|--|----|-----|
| SO 2.2 Promoting renewable energies in accordance with Directive (EU) 2018/2001, in particular sustainability criteria detailed therein | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities in the field of renewable energies participating in the project | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of actions to promote a higher penetration of renewable energies (mainly wind, solar and marine energies, as well as other alternatives such as biomass and geothermal energies) | RG | 40% |
| SO 2.4 Promoting climate change adaptation, disaster risk prevention and resilience, taking into account ecosystem-based approaches | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project in the fight against climate change and the prevention and management of natural disasters | RG | 30% |
| Priority nature of the intervention against risks caused by climate change or against risks and natural disasters not directly linked to climate change, such as seismic and volcanic risks, forest fires and marine and coastal pollution | RG | 40% |
| SO 2.6 Promoting the transition to an efficient and circular economy in the use of resources | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project to promote the circular economy | RG | 30% |
| The project proposes relevant circular economy measures adding value to the competitiveness of the productive fabric with a clear focus on environmental sustainability | RG | 40% |
| SO 2.7 Promoting the protection and preservation of nature, biodiversity and green infrastructure, including urban areas, and reduction of all forms of pollution | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or European interventions and contributes to wider macro-regional and sea basin strategies (Atlantic Strategy) | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project as agents for sustainable environmental management, preservation and protection | RG | 30% |
| The project proposes relevant actions for the development of green and/or blue infrastructures or the protection of the natural environment and biodiversity of the territory | RG | 20% |
| The project takes place in Natura 2000 network areas and/or in other protected natural areas of the territory | RG | 20% |



| PRIORITY 4. MOBILITY MAC - Improving migration management at origin and destination | | |
|--|----|-----|
| IEO2 Mobility and migration management | | |
| The project is consistent and complementary with other regional, national and/or EU interventions, especially the ESF. | RG | 30% |
| Relevance of entities participating in the project to address aspects of the migratory phenomenon | RG | 30% |
| Action relevance prioritising those related to migrant unaccompanied minors and those related to territories of origin, transit or destination for migratory movements | RG | 40% |

- GR: Regional Government (Canary Islands, Azores, Madeira)

| ASSESSMENT BY THIRD-COUNTRY NATIONAL RESPONSIBLE PARTIES (10% OF OTHER CRITERIA) | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|
| | Responsible party | Weighting |
| The project is relevant in the framework of the third-country strategic priorities and consistent with the Multiannual Indicative Programme (MIP) adopted by the European Commission | TC NR | 100% |

- TC NR: National Responsible party for the program in each Third Country (African partners).